

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

INTUS CARE, INC.,
Plaintiff,

v.

RTZ ASSOCIATES, INC.,
Defendant.

Case No. [24-cv-01132-JST](#)

**ORDER RE: ORDER DENYING
PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR PARTIAL
SUMMARY JUDGMENT**

Re: ECF No. 84

The Court has restricted public access to the order, ECF No. 84, because it contains or refers to material subject to sealing orders. Within seven days of the date of this order, the parties shall file either (1) a stipulated proposed redacted version of the order, redacting only those portions of the order containing or referring to material for which the Court has granted a motion to seal and which the parties still request be sealed, or (2) a stipulation that the parties agree that no redaction is necessary. If the parties propose redactions, they shall also email a PDF copy of the proposed redacted order, without any ECF headers, to jstpo@cand.uscourts.gov. The Court will review the parties' proposal and issue a redacted version of the order. If the parties stipulate that no redaction is necessary, or if they fail to file a timely stipulation, the Court will allow full access by the public to the unredacted order by removing the restrictions on ECF No. 84.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: September 11, 2025



JON S. TIGAR
United States District Judge